

NEWS

[Post a comment](#) | [View comments \(5\)](#) | [View latest comment](#) | 

Last updated at 8:38 AM on 15/06/09

Little not backing down in fight against tax-funded abortion

The Journal Pioneer

ALBERTON — An Alberton man, who has said he is prepared to go to jail rather than pay one cent towards tax-funded abortion, believes he has moved a step closer to having his case heard in the Supreme Court of Canada. David Little doesn't deny that he hasn't filed income tax returns. He argues Section 2a of the Charter of Rights and Freedoms, freedom of religion and conscience, gives him the right to refuse.

"I have a sincere and conscientious belief in my faith. That triggers 2a," he argues.

Little says he is not refusing to file simply to get out of paying income taxes.

He was convicted in a New Brunswick courtroom in 2007 on a charge of failing to file income tax returns for 2000, 2001 and 2002. His appeal to the Court of Queens Bench in New Brunswick was rejected last year.

He subsequently appealed to the New Brunswick Court of Appeal. On April 29 the three justices reserved decision on his appeal and Little is calling it a victory of sorts. He expects it will take them three to four months to render a decision.

He plans to use that time to pray that the judges will make a courageous decision.

"I feel we are going to win the case," Little said.

15/06/09

 **SHARE**    

Comments:

This Conversation is Semi-Moderated. [What is moderation?](#)

[\(Post a comment\)](#)

Un believeable from PEI writes: Interesting. So IF he wins - I can relax and not pay or file anymore tax returns because My Beliefs are contrary to so many actions taken by our governments.

Be quite a precedent to set now wouldn't it..

Many of us have Sincere and Conscientious Beliefs that are routinely challenged by bureaucratic decision makers. This will be one worth watching.

Surely Mr. Little doesn't benefit in any way from the actions of Law Abiding citizens.. right?

Posted 15/06/2009 at 8:57 AM | [Alert an Editor](#) | [Link to comment](#)

me from pei writes: As much as I hate paying taxes (but I do) and as much as I don't always agree with the government's use of my tax dollars, I do agree that our tax dollars should not go to fund abortions. To have an abortion is a personal decision that should be paid for by the person having one. Now, I also understand that there will be an argument that not everyone can afford it etc. etc. and that in the case of rape, the government should pay for the abortion, I still feel that abortion is turning into a form of birth control so I guess on that note I agree with Mr. Little. The bottom line is that in the end, a child pays with his or her life. Who protects the innocent unborn child?

Posted 15/06/2009 at 9:32 AM | [Alert an Editor](#) | [Link to comment](#)

Joan from PEI writes: To me -- you tax dollars to fund abortions like you believe. Not just anyone can walk up to the government and say opps, help me out here . This funding is not for people like that. It's for people whose doctors approve the abortions due to health risks, rape, etc. etc.

Posted 15/06/2009 at 12:55 PM | [Alert an Editor](#) | [Link to comment](#)

ERIC from PEI writes: Me from PEI - you say that you disagree with tax dollars paying for abortions because it's a personal

choice. By that logic, should tax dollars not pay for lung cancer treatment because you chose to smoke? Treatment for diabetes because you chose to get fat? How about trauma treatment required from a car accident? You did, after all, choose to drive didn't you? My point is that we all make choices every day that effect our long and short term health and cost the tax system money. That's what PUBLIC health care is all about!

Posted 15/06/2009 at 1:44 PM | [Alert an Editor](#) | [Link to comment](#)

George Reeves from Edmonton, Alberta writes: A doctor IS NOT going to end the life of an already formed and ready to go baby unless it was going to kill its mother and there was no other choice. Who are we to think that we have the right to make THAT choice for anyone else,would you stand by and watch your daughter die if it were not neccessary. I would like to know how many of the pro life crowd have in there homes right now an adopted child or two, no , how about a foster child, come on now give me something, I know, you give to Jerrys Kids right...you have to practice what you preach before you have the right to preach it to others.

Posted 15/06/2009 at 2:19 PM | [Alert an Editor](#) | [Link to comment](#)

NOTE

The management of this site emphasizes that it is in no way liable for persons, physical or legal, who are hosted here. Moreover, the managers of this site may not be held liable for errors and omissions that may slip into the information displayed in these reader comments. Everyone who submits a comment should read, understand and agree to the [Terms of Usage](#) for this section.

Post a comment:

First Name: *

Last Name:

City/Town:

Province: *

Email: *

Use of an invalid email address may result in removal of the comment.
Your email address will not be publicized.

Comments:

I have read and agree to the [Terms of Use](#)

Remember me?

Check here to receive email updates on contests, promotions, and other important information from The Journal Pioneer.

Past news :

June 2009	May 2009	April 2009	March 2009	February 2009	January 2009
December 2008	November 2008	October 2008	September 2008	August 2008	July 2008
June 2008	May 2008	April 2008	March 2008	February 2008	January 2008
December 2007	November 2007	October 2007	September 2007	August 2007	July 2007
June 2007	May 2007	April 2007	March 2007	February 2007	January 2007