

Sent to multiple newspapers in North America Oct. 24, 2007

To the Editor:

Supreme Court of Canada Chief Justice Beverly McLachlin was in Moncton Tuesday night as the keynote speaker for the Muriel McQueen Fergusson Foundation's gala dinner. The catch phrase of the \$125-per-plate fundraiser was "Respecting Our Children: The Law Takes Note." Present were 500 lawyers, judges, politicians, corporate sponsors, child abuse 'experts' and a few others. Respecting our children indeed!

McLachlin's theme was how a society can revere its children and abuse them at the same time.

Indeed. How can a society revere its children when it kills them before birth?

None are so blind as they who choose not to see. In the epitome of irony the chief judge in Canada so chooses, and unlike Lady Justice who is depicted blindfolded, McLachlin says "Canadians shouldn't let their national pride blind them to the abuses being committed against children." Look and see Madam, 105,000 children are killed by abortion in Canada every year.

"We are fond of saying (children) are our future," said McLachlin. "Yet, perversely, children are abused." Just what, I ask, is more perverse than human beings killing their own offspring?

"The first thing we must do, if we care about our children, if we want their rights to be more than just a slogan, is to recognize the extent of abuse," said McLachlin. The extent of the abuse Madam is 2.5 million children killed in the womb in 39 years. 105,000 yearly, almost 300 every single day. (StatsCan) And a growth in abuse of born children is a direct result.

She said (child abuse) "represents a tragedy in the life of a child and a loss to the future of Canada." Future indeed. Abortion means 2500 empty elementary classrooms every year. (100,000 divided by 40 children) The future is geriatric.

"Our goal must be to make the right to be free of abuse a reality," she said. No. The first goal is to return to the first right, the right to life and to grasp the wisdom that all progress isn't forward, and see the reality that abortion is the ultimate child abuse.

McLachlin said, "What lies behind the paradox that a society that loves and prizes its children nevertheless abuses them?" Heinous, hellish evil lies behind that paradox Madam. Your court says it's OK to kill unborn children, so why do you wonder when adults think it's OK to bat born children around? The biological fact is the only difference between born and unborn children is time and nutrition.

The Chief Justice said that what's needed is a "hard-headed look" at why child abuse exists...?" Substitute "murder" for the word "abuse" and you might begin to see why born children are abused Madam.

She also said "the worst thing to do is try to sweep the problem under the rug and ignore it." Indeed. Like your ilk ignore the murderous abuse of preborn children.

"Even today, it may be easy, too easy, to turn our gaze away from the struggling child, to shrug and say it is someone else's problem." What, like you shrug off abortion?

Proponents of abortion said 40 years ago the availability of this 'procedure' would decrease the incidence of child abuse. The reasoning was if unwanted children are aborted, then only wanted children will be born, and since wanted children are less likely to be abused, then child abuse will decrease. It was a blind promise. Exactly the opposite has happened. Since abortion became legal, child abuse has increased.

The fatal assumption was that unwanted children are more likely to be abused. As E.F. Lenoski, M.D. stated, the opposite is actually true. Abuse is more likely to occur among "wanted" children. ("Translating Injury Data into Preventative Health Care Services," E.F. Lenoski, University of Southern California Medical School, unpublished, 1976, as cited in Krason, Abortion, 320..) Canadian psychiatrist Philip Ney reports the same findings. He writes, "When I investigated the relationship between child abuse and abortion and reported a direct correlation, people were angry and astonished. It appeared that the rate of child abuse did not decrease with freely available abortions. In fact, the opposite was true. In parts of Canada where there were low rates of abortion there were low rates of child abuse. As the rates of abortion increased, so did child abuse...Indeed, it is a vicious cycle. That is, parents who have been involved in abortion are more likely to abuse and neglect their children. Mothers and fathers who were abused as children are more likely to abort their child" (Deeply Damaged, p.91).

"The first thing that has to be noted when examining the relationship between abortion and child abuse is that abortion is child abuse. Dismembering a born child would certainly be considered among the worst possible forms of abuse. Medical textbooks and court testimonies use the very same word, "dismemberment," to describe what is done to an unborn child by abortion. How, then, is this not child abuse?

Allowing the abuse of an unborn child, then, creates an atmosphere in which -- more quietly and secretly -- we justify the abuse of born children." (F. Pavone, 9/13/05)

"The first thing we must do, if we care about our children, if we want their rights to be more than just a slogan, is to recognize the extent of abuse," said Madam Chief Justice. Indeed all courts, all governments and we the people, need to recognize the extent of abuse on preborn children, the abuse of citizens coerced to pay for abortion and the resultant abuse of living children and the future of Canada.

"Respecting Our Children: The Law Takes Note." Indeed. Open your eyes and see Madam. Respecting children means nothing if not respecting their right to live. The abuse of born children will grow exponentially to the degree that we continue to abort preborn children. And is it any wonder? If you can kill 'em, why can't you bat 'em around?

David T. Little  
Douglas, NB  
(506) 458-8182